

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,676	11/12/2003	Ofir Zohar	ASSIA 20.741	ASSIA 20.741 8317	
26304 7590 03/22/2007 KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 575 MADISON AVENUE			EXAMINER		
			MCFADDEN, MICHAEL B		
NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2188		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE .	
3 MO	NTHS	03/22/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/706,676	ZOHAR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael B. McFadden	2188			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ja	nuary 2007.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 November 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected or by \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04 January 2007 has been entered.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-22 are pending in the Application.

Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 04 January 2007 have been fully considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,676

Art Unit: 2188

5. Claims 1-3, 5-12, and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sharma et al. ((US Patent No. 6,055,605) herein after Sharma).

Page 3

- 6. Regarding Claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 11 and 12, Sharma discloses a storage system, comprising: one or more data storage logical units (LUs) (Sharma: Figure 3, Element 150) comprising respective physical media, the one or more LUs being adapted to receive commands and responsive to the commands to store and recall data; and a plurality of ports, each port being adapted to maintain a plurality of LU command queues (Sharma: Figure 3, Elements 312/322, 314/324, 316/326, and 318/328) each of the plurality of LU command gueues corresponding to a respective one of the LUs, such that upon receiving a command directed to one of the LUs, the port places the received command in the respective LU command queue, converts the received command to one or more converted commands at least some of which are directed to the physical media of the one of the LUs, and conveys the at least some converted commands to the physical media in an order determined by the respective LU command queue. (Sharma: Column 8, Lines 16-20.) Sharma must inherently convert the commands in some fashion. Commands stored in a command gueue must be converted at the very least at the control line. The opcode will be converted into bits that will be used to perform the requested command.
- 7. Regarding Claims 5 and 14, Sharma discloses the storage system according to claim 1, wherein each of the ports comprises a respective central processing unit (CPU) which operates each of the ports substantially independently. (Sharma: Figure 3, Elements 202, 204, 206, and 208. and Column 8, Lines 12-16.)

Application/Control Number: 10/706,676

Art Unit: 2188

8. Regarding Claims 6 and 15, Sharma discloses the storage system according to claim 1, wherein the command is comprised in one of one or more strings of commands, each command of each string being directed via one of the ports to a respective one of the LUs (Sharma: Column 8, Lines 16-20), and comprising a coupling (Sharma: Figure 3, Element 300) which: receives the commands comprised in the one or more strings, sorts the commands according to the ports via which the commands are directed, and conveys the commands to the ports to which the commands are directed. (Sharma: Column 8, Lines 12-28.)

Page 4

- 9. Regarding Claims 7, 8, 16 and 17, Sharma discloses the storage system according to claim 1, wherein the received command comprises a write command to store a data string from a host to the physical media, wherein the one or more converted commands comprise instructions to the host to convey the data string to the physical media via the port, and wherein the port is adapted to convey the instructions to the host and wherein the received command comprises a read command from a host to read a data string from the physical media, and wherein the one or more converted commands comprise instructions to convey the data string via the port to the host. (Sharma: Column 8, Lines 12-28.)
- 10. Regarding Claims 9 and 18, Sharma discloses the storage system according to claim 1, wherein the physical media comprise the data, and wherein the port is adapted to track changes of location of the data within the physical media. (Figure 3, Element 180. In order to maintain coherency the system must also be keeping track of where the data is located.)

Application/Control Number: 10/706,676 Page 5

Art Unit: 2188

11. Regarding Claim 19, Sharma discloses wherein each port uses at least one table to convert logical data in the received command to data suitable for the respective physical media to which the command is directed. In converting opcode instructions to the proper control line output a conversion table is used.

12. Regarding Claim 20, Sharma discloses wherein each port uses one or more functions to convert logical data in the received command to the data suitable for the respective physical media. In order to convert the opcode instructions logic functions must be present in the circuitry.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claims 4, 13, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharma et al. ((US Patent No. 6,055,605) herein after Sharma).
- 15. Regarding Claims 4 and 13, Sharma fails to disclose the storage system according to claim 1, wherein the command comprises a request according to a small computer system interface (SCSI) protocol, and wherein the storage system is operative according to the SCSI protocol. However, the Office takes Official Notice that it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for

Art Unit: 2188

doing so would have been that the technology is well known in the art and therefore be efficient and time saving to implement.

16. Regarding Claims 21 and 22, Sharma discloses wherein at least one of the converted commands directed to the physical media is first sent to a fast access time memory acting as buffer, said fast access time memory being adapted to redirect the converted command to the respective physical media. However, the Office takes

Official Notice that it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art.

The motivation for doing so would have been that the technology is well known in the art and therefore be efficient and time saving to implement.

Response to Arguments

17. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Relevant Art Cited by the Examiner

- 18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
- 19. Joffe (US Patent No. 5,910,928) and Hawkins et al. (US Patent No. 6,181,595) are both related to multi-port system that maintains a queue at each port for the memory.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,676

Art Unit: 2188

Conclusion

Page 7

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. McFadden whose telephone number is (571)272-8013. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sam Sough can be reached on (571)272-6799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MBM 03/14/2007

3-16-07